

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:23-cv-04933-CJC-MAR Date September 8, 2023

Title Neil Zlozower v. Bloody Disgusting, LLC

Present: The Honorable CORMAC J. CARNEY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Rolls Royce Paschal
Deputy Clerk

None Present
Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:
None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

It is a plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute its case diligently. That includes, where applicable, promptly (a) filing stipulations extending a defendant's time to respond to the complaint, (b) pursuing default and remedies under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 when a defendant fails to timely respond to the complaint, or (c) dismissing a case the plaintiff has chosen not to pursue for any reason.

Here, a stipulation extending time was filed, yet the deadline for all defendant(s) to respond to the Complaint has passed and Plaintiff has taken no action. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause in writing, no later than **September 12, 2023** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by Plaintiff, the Court will consider as an appropriate response to this OSC the filing of one of the following on or before the above date:

1. Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default as to *all Defendants* or Defendants' Answers;
2. A stipulation extending *all Defendants'* time to respond to the Complaint that complies with Local Rule 8.3, or
3. A Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (Fed. R. Civ. P. 41) as to *all Defendants*.

No oral argument will be heard on this matter unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a timely and appropriate response. Failure to file a timely and appropriate response to this Order may result in dismissal.

Initials of Deputy Clerk

rrp